arXiv:1204.6244v1 [math.RA] 27 Apr 2012

Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q-deformed Witt superalgebra

Faouzi AMMAR Abdenacer MAKHLOUF Nejib SAADAOUI

March 3, 2013

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to define the representation and the cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras. Moreover we study Central extensions and provide as application the computations of the derivations and second cohomology group of q-deformed Witt superalgebra.

Introduction

Hom-Lie algebras and other Hom-algebras structures have been widely investigated these last years. They were introduced and studied in [5, 8, 9, 10, 11] motivated initially by examples of deformed Lie algebras coming from twisted discretizations of vector fields. The paradigmatic examples are q-deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras based on σ-derivation [1, 6, 12, 5]. Hom-Lie superalgebras were studied in [3]. Cohomology theory of Hom-Lie algebras was studied in [2, 16, 18]. The purpose of this paper is to study representations and cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras. As application, we provide some calculations for q-deformed Witt superalgebra.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we give the definitions and some key constructions of Hom-Lie superalgebras. Section 2 is dedicated to the representation theory Hom-Lie superalgebra including adjoint and coadjoint representation. In Section 3 we construct family of cohomologies of Hom-Lie superalgebras. In Section 4, we discuss extensions of Hom-Lie superalgebras and their connection to cohomology. In the last section we compute the derivations and scalar second cohomology group of the q-deformed Witt superalgebra.

1 Hom-Lie superalgebras

In this section, we review the theory of Hom-Lis superalgebras established in [3] and generalize some results of [4].

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a linear superspace over a field $\mathbb{K}$ that is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded linear space with a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1$.
The elements of $\mathcal{G}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, are said to be homogenous of parity j. The parity of a homogeneous element x is denoted by $|x|$.
The space $End(\mathcal{G})$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded with a direct sum $End(\mathcal{G}) = (End(\mathcal{G}))_0 \oplus (End(\mathcal{G}))_1$ where $(End(\mathcal{G}))_j = \{f \in End(\mathcal{G})/f(\mathcal{G}_i) \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}\}$. The elements of $(End(\mathcal{G}))_j$ are said to be homogenous of parity j.

Definition 1.1. A Hom-Lie superalgebra is a triple $(\mathcal{G},\ [.,.],\ \alpha)$ consisting of a superspace $\mathcal{G}$, an even bilinear map $[.,.]:\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}$ and an even superspace homomorphism $\alpha:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}$ satisfying

$$[x,y]=-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y,x], \tag{1.1}$$

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x),[y,z]]+(-1)^{|z||y|}[\alpha(z),[x,y]]+(-1)^{|y||x|}[\alpha(y),[z,x]]=0 \tag{1.2}$$

for all homogeneous element x, y, z in $\mathcal{G}$.
Let $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot],,\alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{G}',[\cdot,\cdot]',\alpha')$ be two Hom-Lie superalgebras. An even homomorphism $f\ :\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}'$ is said to be a *morphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras* if

$$[f(x),f(y)]' \ = \ f([x,y]) \quad \forall x,y\in\mathcal{G} \tag{1.3}$$

$$f\circ\alpha \ = \ \alpha'\circ f. \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.2. We recover the classical Lie superalgebra when $\alpha=id$.
The Hom-Lie algebra are obtained when the part of parity one is trivial.

Example 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_0\oplus\mathcal{G}_1$ be a 3-dimensional superspace where $\mathcal{G}_0$ is generated by e_1 and $\mathcal{G}_1$ is generated by $e_2,\ e_3$. The triple $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ is Hom-Lie superalgebra defined by $[e_1,e_2]=2e_2,[e_1,e_3]=2e_3$ and $[e_2,e_3]=e_1$, with $\alpha(e_1)=e_1,\ \alpha(e_2)=e_3,\ \alpha(e_3)=-e_2$.*

Definition 1.4. Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra. A Hom-Lie superalgebra is called

- multiplicative if $\forall x,y\in\mathcal{G}$ we have $\alpha([x,y])=[\alpha(x),\alpha(y)]$;
- regular if α is an automorphism;
- involutive if α is an involution, that is $\alpha^2=id$.

The center of the Hom-Lie superalgebra, denoted $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})=\{x\in\mathcal{G}:\ [x,y]=0\ \forall y\in\mathcal{G}\}.$$

The following theorem generalizes the twisting principle stated in [3, 23] in the following sense: starting from a Hom-Lie superalgebra and an even Lie superalgebras endomorphism, we construct a new Hom-Lie superalgebra.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra, and $\beta:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}$ be an even Lie superalgebra endomorphism. Then $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot]_\beta,\beta o\alpha)$, where $[x,y]_\beta=\beta([x,y])$, is a Hom-Lie superalgebra.*

Moreover, suppose that $(\mathcal{G}',[\cdot,\cdot]')$ is a Lie superalgebra and $\alpha':\mathcal{G}'\to\mathcal{G}'$ is a Lie superalgebra endomorphism. If $f:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}'$ is a Lie superalgebra morphism that satisfies $f\circ\beta=\alpha'\circ f$ then

$$f:(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot]_\beta,\beta o\alpha)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}',[\cdot,\cdot]',\alpha')$$

is a morphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras.

Proof. We show that $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot]_\beta,\beta o\alpha)$ satisfies the graded Hom-Jacobi identity(1.2). Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z}(-1)^{|x||z|}\Big[\beta o\alpha(x),[y,z]_\beta\Big]_\beta &= \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z}(-1)^{|x||z|}\Big[\beta o\alpha(x),\beta([y,z])\Big]_\beta\\ &= \beta^2\left(\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z}(-1)^{|x||z|}\Big[\alpha(x),[y,z]\Big]\right)\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows from

$$f\Big([x,y]_\beta\Big) = f\Big([\beta(x),\beta(y)]\Big) = \Big[f\circ\beta(x), f\circ\beta(y)\Big]' = \Big[\alpha'\circ f(x), \alpha'\circ f(y)\Big]' = \Big[f(x), f(y)\Big]'_{\alpha'}.$$

□

Example 1.6. *We derive the following particular cases:*

1. *If $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha)$ is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra then, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $(\mathcal{G},\alpha^n\circ[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha^{n+1})$ is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra.*
2. *If $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot])$ is a Lie superalgebra and a self-map α on $\mathcal{G}$ is an even Lie superalgebra morphism then $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha)$ is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra.*
3. *If $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a regular Hom-Lie superalgebra, then $(\mathcal{G},\alpha^{-1}\circ[.,.])$ is a Lie superalgebra.*

In the following we construct Hom-Lie superalgebras involving elements of the centroid of Lie superalgebras. Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.])$ be a Lie superalgebra. The centroid is defined by

$$Cent(\mathcal{G}) = \{\theta\in End(\mathcal{G}) : \theta([x,y]) = [\theta(x),y],\ \forall x,y\in\mathcal{G}\} = (Cent(\mathcal{G}))_0\oplus(Cent(\mathcal{G}))_1.$$

The $Cent(\mathcal{G})$ is a subsuperpace of $End(\mathcal{G})$.

Proposition 1.7. *Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.])$ be a Lie superalgebra and $\theta\in(Cent(\mathcal{G}))_0\subset(End(\mathcal{G}))_0$. Set for $x,y\in\mathcal{G}$*

$$\{x,y\} = \theta([x,y]).$$

Then $(\mathcal{G},\{.\},\theta)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebras.

Proof. For $\theta\in(Cent(\mathcal{G}))_0$, we have

$$\{x,y\} = \theta([x,y]) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\theta([y,x]) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[\theta(y),x] = [x,\theta(y)].$$

Then $\{x,y\} = [x,\theta(y)] = (-1)^{|x||y|}[\theta(y),x] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\{y,x\}$.
Also we have

$$\{\theta(x),\{y,z\}\} = \{\theta(x),[y,\theta(z)]\} = \Big[\theta(x),\theta([y,\theta(z)])\Big]. = \Big[\theta(x),[\theta(y),\theta(z)])\Big]$$

It follows that

$$\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}\{\theta(x),\{y,z\}\} = \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|\theta(x)||\theta(z)|}\Big[\theta(x),[\theta(y),\theta(z)]\Big] = 0.$$

Since $(\mathcal{G},[.,.])$ is a Lie superalgebra, then the super Hom-Jacobi identity is satisfied. Thus $(\mathcal{G},\{.,.\},\theta)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra. □

2 Derivations of Hom-Lie superalgebras

We provide in the following a graded version of the study of derivations of Hom-Lie algebras stated in [18]. Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra, denote by α^k the k-times composition of α, i.e. $\alpha^k = \alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha (k\text{-times})$. In particular, $\alpha^{-1} = 0,\ \alpha^0 = Id$ and $\alpha^1 = \alpha$.

Definition 2.1. For any $k \geq -1$, we call $D \in (End(\mathcal{G}))_i$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ a α^k- derivation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ if $\alpha \circ D = D \circ \alpha$ and

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^k(x), D(y)] \text{ for all homogeneous elements } x, y \in \mathcal{G}.$$

We denote by $Der_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G}) = (Der_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G}))_0 \oplus (Der_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G})_1$ the set of α^k-derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$, and

$$Der(\mathcal{G}) = \oplus_{k \geq -1} Der_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G}).$$

For any homogeneous element $a \in \mathcal{G}$, satisfying $\alpha(a) = a$, define $ad_k(a) \in End(\mathcal{G})$ by

$$ad_k(a)(x) = [a, \alpha^k(x)],\ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Notice that $ad_k(a)$ and a are of the same parity.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra. Then $ad_k(a)$ is an α^{k+1}-derivation, which we call inner α^{k+1}-derivation.*

Proof. Indeed we have

$$ad_k(a) \circ \alpha(x) = [a, \alpha^{k+1}(x)] = [\alpha(a), \alpha^{k+1}(x)] = \alpha\Big([a, \alpha^k(x)]\Big) = \alpha \circ ad_k(a)(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& ad_k(a)\Big([x, y]\Big) = [a, \alpha^k([x, y])] \\
= \ & [\alpha(a), [\alpha^k(x), \alpha^k(y)]] \\
= \ & -(-1)^{|a||y|}\Bigg((-1)^{|x||a|}\Big[\alpha^{k+1}(x), [\alpha^k(y), a]\Big] + (-1)^{|y||x|}\Big[\alpha^{k+1}(y)), [a, \alpha^k(x)]\Big]\Bigg) \\
= \ & (-1)^{|a||y|}\Bigg((-1)^{|x||a|}(-1)^{|y||a|}\Big[\alpha^{k+1}(x)), [a, \alpha^k(y)]\Big] + (-1)^{|y||x|}(-1)^{|y||[a,x]|}\Big[[a, \alpha^k(x)], \alpha^{k+1}(y))\Big]\Bigg) \\
= \ & \Big[[a, \alpha^k(x)], \alpha^{k+1}(y)\Big] + (-1)^{|x||a|}\Big[\alpha^{k+1}(x), [a, \alpha^{k+1}(y)]\Big] \\
= \ & [ad_k(a)(x), \alpha^{k+1}(y)\Big] + (-1)^{|x||a|}[\alpha^{k+1}(x), ad_k(a)(y)].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ad_k is a α^{k+1}-derivation. We denote by $Inn_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G})$ the set of inner α^k-derivations, i.e.

$$Inn_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G}) = \{[a, \alpha^{k-1}(.)]/a \in \mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1,\ \alpha(a) = a\}.$$

For any $D \in Der(\mathcal{G})$ and $D' \in Der(\mathcal{G})$, define their commutator $[D, D']$ as usual:

$$[D, D'] = D \circ D' - (-1)^{|D||D'|} D' \circ D. \tag{2.1}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *For any $D \in (Der_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{G}))_i$ and $D' \in (Der_{\alpha^s}(\mathcal{G}))_j$, where $k+s \geq -1$ and $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, we have*

$$[D, D'] \in (Der_{\alpha^{k+s}}(\mathcal{G}))_{|D|+|D'|}.$$

Proof. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[D, D'\Big]([x,y]) &= D \circ D'\Big([x,y]\Big) - (-1)^{|D||D'|} D' \circ D\Big([x,y]\Big) \\
&= D\Big([D'(x), \alpha^s(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D'|}[\alpha^s(x), D'(y)]\Big) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|D||D'|} D'\Big([D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^k(x), D(y)]\Big) \\
&= [DD'(x), \alpha^{k+s}(y)] + (-1)^{|D||D'(x)|}[\alpha^k D'(x), D\alpha^s(y)] \\
&\quad +(-1)^{|x||D'|}\Big([D\alpha^s(x), \alpha^k D'(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^{k+s}(x), DD'(y)]\Big) \\
&\quad -(-1)^{|D||D'|}\Big([D'D(x), \alpha^{k+s}(y)] + (-1)^{|D'||D(x)|}[\alpha^s D(x), D'\alpha^k(y)]\Big) \\
&\quad -(-1)^{|D||D'|}(-1)^{|x||D|}\Big([D'\alpha^k(x), \alpha^s D(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D'|}[\alpha^{k+s}(x), D'D(y)]\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since D and D' satisfy $D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D$ and $D' \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[D, D'\Big]([x,y]) &= \Big[DD'(x) - (-1)^{|D||D'|}D'D(x), \alpha^{k+s}(y)\Big] \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(|x||D'|}(-1)^{(|x||D|}\Big[\alpha^{k+s}(x), DD'(y) - (-1)^{|D||D'|}D'D(y)\Big] \\
&= \Big[[D, D'](x), \alpha^{k+s}(y)\Big] + (-1)^{|[D,D']||x|}\Big[\alpha^{k+s}(x), [D, D'](y)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\alpha \circ [D, D'] = [D, D'] \circ \alpha$. Which leads to $[D, D'] \in Der_{\alpha^{k+s}}(\mathcal{G})$. □

Remark 2.4. Obviously, we have

$$Der_{\alpha^{-1}} = \{D \in End(\mathcal{G}) : \ D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, D([x,y]) = 0, \ \forall x, y \ \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Thus for any $D, \ D' \in Der_{\alpha^{-1}}(\mathcal{G})$, we have $[D, D'] \in Der_{\alpha^{-1}}(\mathcal{G})$.

By Lemma 2.3, obviously we have

Proposition 2.5. *With the above notations, $Der(\mathcal{G})$ is a Lie superalgebra, in which the bracket is given by* (2.1).

Proposition 2.6. *If we consider on $Der(\mathcal{G})$ the endomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha}$ defined by $\widetilde{\alpha}(D) = \alpha \circ D$, then $(Der(\mathcal{G}), [.,.], \widetilde{\alpha})$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra where $[.,.]$ is given by* (2.1).

Now, we consider extensions of a Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ using derivations. For any $D \in (End(\mathcal{G}))_i$, consider the vector space $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}_0} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}D$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}_1} = \mathcal{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}_0} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{G}_1}$. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket operation $[.,.]_D$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ by

$$[g + \gamma D, h + \lambda D]_D = [g, h] - \lambda D(g) + \gamma D(h), \forall g, h \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Define $\alpha_D \in End(\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathbb{R}D)$ by $\alpha_D(g + \lambda D) = \alpha(g) + \lambda D$.

Proposition 2.7. *With the above notations, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, [.,.]_D, \alpha_D)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra if and only if D is a derivation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$.*

3 Representations and Cohomology of Hom-Lie Superalgebras

In this section we study representations of Hom-Lie Superalgebras and define a family of cohomologies by providing a family of coboundary operator defining cohomology complexes.

3.1 Representations of Hom-Lie superalgebras

Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $V=V_0\oplus V_1$ be an arbitrary vector superspace. Let $\beta\in\mathcal{G}l(V)$ be an arbitrary even linear self-map on V and

$$\begin{aligned}[][.,.]_V \quad &: \quad \mathcal{G}\times V\to V\\ &\qquad (g,v)\mapsto [g,v]_V\end{aligned}$$

a bilinear map satisfying $[\mathcal{G}_i,V_j]_V\subset V_{i+j}$ where $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Definition 3.1. The triple $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ is called a Hom-module on the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_0\oplus\mathcal{G}_1$ or $\mathcal{G}$-Hom-module V if the even bilinear map $[.,.]_V$ satisfies

$$[\alpha(x),\beta(v)]_V = \beta([x,v]_V) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$[[x,y],\beta(v)]_V = [\alpha(x),[y,v]]_V-(-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha(y),[x,v]]_V \tag{3.2}$$

for all homogeneous elements $x,y\in\mathcal{G}$ and $v\in V$.
Hence, we say that $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ is a representation of $\mathcal{G}$.

Example 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $ad:\mathcal{G}\to End(\mathcal{G})$ be an operator defined for $x\in\mathcal{G}$ by $ad(x)(y)=[x,y]$. Then $(\mathcal{G},ad,\alpha)$ is a representation of $\mathcal{G}$.*

Example 3.3. *Given a representation $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ of a Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$.
Denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G}\oplus V$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_k=\mathcal{G}_k\oplus V_k$. If $x\in\mathcal{G}_i$ and $v\in V_i$ $(i\in\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we denote $|(x,v)|=|x|$.
Define a super skew-symmetric bracket $[.,.]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}}:\wedge^2(\mathcal{G}\oplus V)\to\mathcal{G}\oplus V$ by*

$$[(x,u),(y,v)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}}=\Big([x,y],\ [x,v]_V-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y,u]_V\Big).$$

*Define $\widetilde{\alpha}:\mathcal{G}\oplus V\to\mathcal{G}\oplus V$ by $\widetilde{\alpha}(x,v)=(\alpha(x),\beta(v))$.
Then $(\mathcal{G}\oplus V,[.,.]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}},\widetilde{\alpha})$ is Hom-Lie superalgebra, which we call semi-direct product of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ by V.*

Remark 3.4. When β is the zero-map, we say that the module V is trivial.

3.2 Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras

Let $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ be k homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{G}$, we denote by $|(x_1, \cdots, x_k)| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_k|$ the parity of an element $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ in $\mathcal{G}^k$.

The set $C^k(\mathcal{G}, V)$ of k-cochains on space $\mathcal{G}$ with values in V, is the set of k-linear maps $f : \otimes^k \mathcal{G} \to V$ satisfying

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k) = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+1}|} f(x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots, x_k) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

For $k = 0$ we have $C^0(\mathcal{G}, V) = V$.

The map f is called even (resp. odd) when $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in V_0$ (resp. $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in V_1$) for all even (resp odd) elements $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathcal{G}^k$.

A k-hom-cochain on $\mathcal{G}$ with values in V is defined to be a k-cochain $f \in C^k(\mathcal{G},\ V)$ such that it is compatible with α and β in the sense that $\beta \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, i.e.

$$\beta \circ f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = f(\alpha(x_1), \ldots, \alpha(x_k)).$$

Denote $C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V)$ the set of k-hom-cochains:

$$C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V) \quad = \quad \{f \in C^k(\mathcal{G},\ V) :\ \beta \circ f = f \circ \alpha\}. \tag{3.3}$$

For a given r, we define a map $\delta^k_r : C^k(\mathcal{G},\ V) \to C^{k+1}(\mathcal{G},\ V)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^k_r(f)(x_0, \ldots, x_k) \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq s < t \leq k} (-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)} f\Big(\alpha(x_0), \ldots, \alpha(x_{s-1}), [x_s, x_t], \alpha(x_{s+1}), \ldots, \widehat{x}_t, \ldots, \alpha(x_k)\Big) \\
&+ \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)} \Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), f\Big(x_0, \ldots, \widehat{x}_s, \ldots, x_k\Big)\Big]_V,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $f \in C^k(\mathcal{G},\ V)$, $|f|$ is the parity of f, $x_0, ..., x_k \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{x}_i$ means that x_i is omitted.

In the sequel we assume that the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ is multiplicative.

Lemma 3.5. *With the above notations, for any* $f \in C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V)$, *we have*

$$\delta^k_r(f) \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \delta^k_r(f).$$

Thus we obtain a well-defined map

$$\delta^k_r : C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V) \to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V).$$

Proof. Let $f \in C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V)$ and $(x_0, \cdots, x_k) \in \mathcal{G}^{k+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_r^k(f)\circ\alpha(x_0,\ldots,x_k)\\
=\;&\delta^k(f)(\alpha(x_0),\ldots,\alpha(x_k))\\
=\;&\sum_{0\leq s<t\leq k}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|f|+|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\ldots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),[\alpha(x_s),\alpha(x_t)],\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),\ldots,\widehat{x_t},\ldots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r}(x_s),f\Big(\alpha(x_0),\ldots,\widehat{x_s},\ldots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V\\
=\;&\sum_{0\leq s<t\leq k}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|f|+|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}f\circ\alpha\Big(\alpha(x_0),\ldots,\alpha(x_{s-1}),[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{s+1}),\ldots,\widehat{x_t},\ldots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r}(x_s),f\circ\alpha\Big(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x_s},\ldots,x_k\Big)\Big]_V\\
=\;&\sum_{0\leq s<t\leq k}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|f|+|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\beta\circ f\Big(\alpha(x_0),\ldots,\alpha(x_{s-1}),[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{s+1}),\ldots,\widehat{x_t},\ldots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r}(x_s),\beta\circ f\Big(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x_s},\ldots,x_k\Big)\Big]_V\\
=\;&\sum_{0\leq s<t\leq k}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|f|+|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\beta\circ f\Big(\alpha(x_0),\ldots,\alpha(x_{s-1}),[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{s+1})\ldots,\widehat{x_t},\ldots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\beta\Bigg(\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s);f\Big(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x_s},\ldots,x_k\Big)\Big]_V\Bigg)\\
=\;&\beta\circ\delta_r^k(k)(x_0,\ldots,x_k),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-Hom-module.*

For a given integer $r\geq 1$, the pair $(\bigoplus_{k>0}C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V),\{\delta^k_r\}_{k>0})$ defines a cohomology complex, that is $\delta^k_r\circ\delta^{k-1}_r=0$.

Proof. For any $f\in C^{k-1}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_r^k\circ\delta_r^{k-1}(f)(x_0,\ldots,x_k)\\
&=\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\delta^{k-1}(f)(\alpha(x_0),\ldots,\alpha(x_{s-1}),[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{s+1}),\ldots,\widehat{x_t},\ldots,\alpha(x_k)) &(3.5)\\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s),\delta_r^{k-1}(f)(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x_s},\ldots,x_k)\Big]_V. &(3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) we have

$$\delta^{k-1}(f)\,(\alpha(x_0),\dots,\alpha(x_{s-1}),[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{s+1}),\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\alpha(x_k))$$

$$= \sum_{s'<t'<s}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s'-1}),[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})],\alpha^2(x_{s'+1}),\dots,\widehat{x_{t'}},$$

$$\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big)+ \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sum_{s'<s}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s'-1}),\Big[\alpha(x_{s'-1}),[x_s,x_t]\Big],\alpha^2(x_{s'+1}),\dots,\widehat{x_{s,t}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.8}$$

$$+\sum_{s'<s<t'<t}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|[x_s,x_t]|+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s'-1}),[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})],\alpha^2(x_{s'+1}),$$

$$\dots,\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\dots,\widehat{x_{t'}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.9}$$

$$+\sum_{s'<s<t<t'}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|+|[x_s,x_t]|+|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+\widehat{|x_t|}+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s'-1}),$$

$$[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})],\alpha^2(x_{s'+1}),\dots,\dots,\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\widehat{x_{t'}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.10}$$

$$+\sum_{s<t'<t}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\Big[[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{t'})\Big],\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),\dots,\widehat{x_{t,t'}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.11}$$

$$+\sum_{s<t<t'}(-1)^{t'-1+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+\widehat{|x_t|}+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),\Big[[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{t'})\Big],\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),$$

$$\dots,\widehat{x_{t,t'}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.12}$$

$$+\sum_{s<s'<t'<t}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),\alpha([x_s,x_t]),$$

$$\dots,[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})],\dots,\widehat{x_{t'}},\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.13}$$

$$+\sum_{s<s'<t<t'}(-1)^{t'-1+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+\widehat{x_t}+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),$$

$$\dots,[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})]\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\widehat{x_{t'}},\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.14}$$

$$+\sum_{t<s'<t'}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+\widehat{x_{t,t'}}+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),$$

$$\dots,\widehat{x}_t\dots,[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})],\dots\widehat{x_{t'}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big) \tag{3.15}$$

$$+\sum_{0\le s'<s}(-1)^{s'+|x_{s'}|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s'-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_{s'}),f\Big(\alpha(x_0)\dots,\widehat{x_{s'}},\dots,[x_s,x_t],\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \tag{3.16}$$

$$+(-1)^{s+|[x_s,x_s]|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r-2}([x_s,x_t]),f\Big(\alpha(x_0)\dots,\widehat{[x_s,x_t]},\alpha(x_{s+1}),\dots,\widehat{x}_t,\dots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \tag{3.17}$$

$$+\sum_{s<s'<t}(-1)^{s'+|x_{s'}|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|[x_s,x_t]|+\cdots+|x_{s'-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_{s'}),f\Big(\alpha(x_0)\dots,[x_s,x_t],\dots,\widehat{x_{s',t}},\dots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \tag{3.18}$$

$$+\sum_{t<s'}(-1)^{s'+|x_{s'}|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|[x_s,x_t]|+\cdots+\widehat{|x_t|}+\dots,|x_{s'-1}|)}\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_{s'}),f\Big(\alpha(x_0)\dots,[x_s,x_t],\dots,\widehat{x_{t,s'}},\dots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \tag{3.19}$$

The identity (3.6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \delta^{k-1}(f)(x_0, \ldots, \widehat{x_s}, \ldots, x_k)\Big]_V \\
= \; &\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \\
&\sum_{s'<t'<s} (-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)} f\Big(\alpha(x_0), \ldots, \alpha(x_{s'-1}), [x_{s'}, x_{t'}], \alpha(x_{s'+1}), \\
&\ldots, \widehat{x_{s',t',s}}, \alpha(x_{s+1}), \ldots, \alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \qquad (3.20) \\
&+\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \sum_{s'<s<t'} (-1)^{t'-1+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+\widehat{|x_s|}+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)} f\Big(\alpha(x_0), \ldots, \alpha(x_{s'-1}), [x_{s'}, x_{t'}], \alpha(x_{s'+1}), \\
&\ldots, \widehat{x_{t,s'}}, \ldots, \alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \qquad (3.21) \\
&+\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \sum_{s<s'<t'} (-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)} f\Big(\alpha(x_0), \ldots, \widehat{x_s}, \ldots, \alpha(x_{s'-1}), [x_{s'}, x_{t'}], \alpha(x_{s'+1}), \\
&\ldots, \widehat{x_{t'}}, \ldots, \alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \qquad (3.22) \\
&+\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \sum_{s'=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{s'+|x_{s'}|(|c|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s'-1}|)} \Big[\alpha^{k+r-2}(s'), f\Big(x_0, \ldots, \widehat{x_{s',s}}, \ldots, x_k\Big)\Big]_V \qquad (3.23) \\
&+\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \sum_{s'=s+1}^{k} (-1)^{s'-1+|x_{s'}|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+\widehat{|x_s|},\ldots,+|x_{s'-1}|)} \Big[\alpha^{k+r-2}(s'), f\Big(x_0, \ldots, \widehat{x_{s',s}}, \ldots, x_k\Big)\Big]_V\Big]_V \\
&\qquad (3.24)
\end{aligned}$$

Super-Hom-Jacobi identity leads to

$$\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\Big((3.8) + (3.11) + (3.12)\Big) = 0.$$

Using (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3.17) = \Big[\alpha^{k+r-2}([x_s, x_t]);\ f\Big(\alpha(x_0), \ldots, \alpha(x_{s-1}), \alpha\widehat{([x_s, x_t}], \alpha(x_{s+1}), \ldots, \widehat{x}_t, \ldots, \alpha(x_k)\Big)\Big]_V \\
= \; &\Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_s), \Big[\alpha^{k+r-2}(x_t), f\Big(x_0, \ldots, \widehat{x_{s,t}}, \ldots, x_k\Big)\Big]_V\Big]_V - \Big[\alpha^{k+r-1}(x_t), \Big[\alpha^{k+r-2}(x_s), f\Big(x_0, \ldots, \widehat{x_{s,t}}, \ldots, x_k\Big)\Big]_V\Big]_V (3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}(3.17) + \sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}(3.23) + \sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}(3.24) = 0.$$

By a simple calculation we get

$$\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}(3.16)+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}(3.22)=0,$$

$$\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}(3.18)+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}(3.21)=0,$$

$$\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}(3.19)+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}(3.20)=0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\Big((3.9)+(3.14)\Big)\\
= & \sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\sum_{s'<s<t'<t}(-1)^{t'+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+|[x_s,x_t]|+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}\\
& f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s'-1}),[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})],\alpha^2(x_{s'+1}),\dots,\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\dots,\widehat{x_{t'}},\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big)\\
+ & \sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\sum_{s<s'<t<t'}(-1)^{t'-1+|x_{t'}|(|x_{s'+1}|+\cdots+\widehat{x_t}+\cdots+|x_{t'-1}|)}\\
& f\Big(\alpha^2(x_0),\dots,\alpha^2(x_{s-1}),\alpha([x_s,x_t]),\alpha^2(x_{s+1}),\dots,\widehat{x_{t,t'}},\dots,[\alpha(x_{s'}),\alpha(x_{t'})]\dots,\alpha^2(x_k)\Big).\\
= & \ 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\Big((3.7)+(3.15)\Big)=0$ and

$$\sum_{s<t}(-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)}\Big((3.10)+(3.13)\Big)=0$$

Therefore $\delta_r^k\circ\delta_r^{k-1}=0$. □

The previous Theorem shows that we may have infinitely many cohomology complexes.
The corresponding cocycles, coboundaries and cohomology groups are defined as follows.

Definition 3.7. Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ be a Hom-module. We have with respect the r-cohomology defined by the coboundary operators

$$\delta_r^k: C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V)\to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V),$$

- The k-cocycles space is defined as $Z_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)=\ker\ \delta_r^k$. The even (resp. odd) k-cocycles space is defined as $Z^k_{r,0}(\mathcal{G},V)=Z_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V))_0$ (resp. $Z^k_{r,1}(\mathcal{G},V)=Z_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V))_1$).
- The k-coboundaries space is defined as $B_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)=Im\ \delta_r^{k-1}$. The even (resp. odd) k-coboundaries space is $B^k_{r,0}(\mathcal{G},V)=B_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V))_0$ (resp. $B^k_{r,1}(\mathcal{G},V)=B_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V))_0$).

- The k^{th} cohomology space is the quotient $H_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)=Z_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)/B_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)$. It decomposes as well as even and odd k^{th} cohomology spaces.

Finally, we denote by $H_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)=H_{r,0}^k(\mathcal{G},V)\oplus H_{r,1}^k(\mathcal{G},V)$ the k^{th} r-cohomology space and by $\oplus_{k\geq 0}H_r^k(\mathcal{G},V)$ the r-cohomology group of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ with values in V.

Remark 3.8. The $Z_r^1(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$ is the set of α^r-derivation of $\mathcal{G}$.

Example 3.9. *In this example we compute the second scalar cohomology group of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $osp(1,2)_\lambda$ constructed in [3].*

Let $osp(1,2)=A_0\oplus A_1$ be the vector superspace where V_0 is generated by

$$H=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&-1\end{pmatrix},\quad X=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\ Y=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\1&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

and V_1 is generated by:

$$F=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\1&0&0\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix},\ G=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\0&0&-1\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*$, we consider the linear map $\alpha_\lambda: osp(1,2)\to osp(1,2)$ defined by:

$$\alpha_\lambda(X)=\lambda^2X,\ \alpha_\lambda(Y)=\frac{1}{\lambda^2}Y,\ \alpha_\lambda(H)=H,\ \alpha_\lambda(F)=\frac{1}{\lambda}F,\ \alpha_\lambda(G)=\lambda G.$$

We define a superalgebra bracket $[.,.]_\lambda$ with respect to the basis, for $\lambda\neq 0$, by:

$$[H,X]_\lambda=2\lambda^2X,\ [H,Y]_\lambda=-\tfrac{2}{\lambda^2}Y,\ [X,Y]_\lambda=H,\ [Y,G]_\lambda=\tfrac{1}{\lambda}F,\ [X,F]_\lambda=\lambda G,[H,F]_\lambda=-\tfrac{1}{\lambda}F,$$
$$[H,G]_\lambda=\lambda G,\ [G,F]_\lambda=H,[G,X]=0,[Y,F]=0,[G,G]_\lambda=-2\lambda^2X,\ [F,F]_\lambda=\tfrac{2}{\lambda^2}Y.$$

Then, we have $osp(1,2)_\lambda=(osp(1,2),[.,.]_\lambda,\alpha_\lambda)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra.

Let $f\in C^1_{\alpha,Id_{\mathbb{C}}}(osp(1,2),\mathbb{C})$. The scalar 2-coboundary is defined according to (3.4)*by*

$$\delta^2(f)(x_0,x_1,x_2)=-f([x_0,x_1],\alpha(x_2))+(-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}f([x_0,x_2],\alpha(x_1))+f(\alpha(x_0),[x_1,x_2]).\quad(3.26)$$

Now, we suppose that f is a 2-cocycle of $osp(1,2)_\lambda$. Then f satisfies

$$-f([x_0,x_1],\alpha(x_2))+(-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}f([x_0,x_2],\alpha(x_1))+f(\alpha(x_0),[x_1,x_2])=0.\quad(3.27)$$

By plugging the following triples

$$(H,X,F),\ (H,X,Y),\ (H,X,G),\ (H,Y,G),\ (X,Y,F),\ (X,F,G)),(Y,F,G),$$
$$(H,Y,F),\ (X,Y,G),\ (H,F,G),\ (H,F,F),\ (H,G,G),\ (X,G,G)$$

respectively in (3.27) *we obtain*

$$f(H,G)=f(X,F),\ f(G,X)=0,\ f(H,F)=f(G,Y),\ f(G,G)=f(X,H),$$
$$f(F,F)=f(Y,H)\ f(F,Y)=0,\ f(X,Y)=f(G,F).$$

So, if we consider the map $g : osp(1,2) \to \mathbb{R}$ *defined by*

$$g(X) = \tfrac{1}{2\lambda^2} f(H,X),\ g(Y) = -\tfrac{\lambda^2}{2} f(H,X),\ g(F) = -\lambda f(H,F),$$
$$g(G) = \tfrac{1}{\lambda} f(H,G),\ g(H) = f(X,Y),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &f(a_1H + a_2X + a_3Y + a_4F + a_5G, b_1H + b_2X + b_3Y + b_4F + b_5G) \\ &\quad = \delta(g)(a_1H + a_2X + a_3Y + a_4F + a_5G, b_1H + b_2X + b_3Y + b_4F + b_5G) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $H^2(osp(1,2)_\lambda, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$.
Notice that this result is the same for any $r \geqslant 1$.

4 Extensions of Hom-Lie superalgebras

The extension theory of Hom-Lie algebras algebras was stated first in[5, 8].
An extension of a Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ by Hom-module (V, α_V) is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathcal{G}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}\ o\ i = i\ o\ \alpha_V$ and $\alpha\ o\ \pi = \pi\ o\ \widetilde{\alpha}$.
We say that the extension is central if $[\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, i(V)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} = 0$.
Two extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_k} (\mathcal{G}_k, \alpha_k) \xrightarrow{\pi_k} (\mathcal{G}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

are equivalent if there is an isomorpism $\varphi : (\mathcal{G}_1, \alpha_1) \to (\mathcal{G}_2, \alpha_2)$ such that $\varphi o\ i_1 = i_2$ and $\pi_2\ o\ \varphi = \pi_1$.

4.1 Trivial representation of Hom-Lie superalgebras

Let $V = \mathbb{C}$ (or $\mathbb{R}$) and $[.,.]_V = 0$. Obviously, $\forall \beta \in End(\mathbb{C})$, $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.]_V, \beta)$ is a representation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$. This representation is called trivial representation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$.
In the following we consider central extensions of a Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$. We will see that it is controlled by the second cohomologie $H^2(\mathcal{G}, V)$. Let $\theta \in C^2_\alpha(\mathcal{G}, V)$, we consider the direct sum $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}_0} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{G}_1}$ where $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}_0} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}_1} = \mathcal{G}_1$ with the following bracket

$$\Big[(x,s),(y,t)\Big]_\theta = \Big([x,y], \theta(x,y)\Big) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{G}\ s, t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Define $\widetilde{\alpha} : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ by $\widetilde{\alpha}(x,s) = (\alpha(x), s)$,

Theorem 4.1. *The triple* $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, [.,.]_\theta, \widetilde{\alpha})$ *is a Hom-Lie superalgebra if and only if* θ *is a* 2*-cocycle (i.e.* $\delta^2(\theta) = 0$*).*
We call the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, [.,.]_\theta, \widetilde{\alpha})$ *the central extension of* $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ *by* $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a morphism with respect to the bracket $[.,.]_\theta$ follows from the fact that $\theta \circ \alpha = \theta$. More precisely, we have

$$\widetilde{\alpha}[(x,s),(y,t)]_\theta = (\alpha[x,y], \theta(x,y)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[\widetilde{\alpha}(x,s),\widetilde{\alpha}(y,t)]_\theta = [(\alpha(x),s),(\alpha(y),t)]_\theta = ([\alpha(x),\alpha(y)],\theta(\alpha(x),\alpha(y))).$$

Since α is a morphism and $\theta(\alpha(x),\alpha(y)) = \theta(x,y)$, we deduce that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a morphism.
By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \circlearrowleft_{(x,s),(y,t),(z,m)} (-1)^{|(x,s)||(z,m)|}\Big[\widetilde{\alpha}(x,s),[(y,t),(z,m)]_\theta\Big]_\theta \\ = & \circlearrowleft_{(x,s),(y,t),(z,m)} (-1)^{|x||z|}\Big[(\alpha(x),s),([y,z],\theta(y,z))\Big]_\theta \\ = & \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}\Big([\alpha(x),[y,z]],\theta(\alpha(x),[y,z])\Big) \\ = & \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}\Big(0,\theta(\alpha(x),\theta(\alpha(x),[y,z])\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Hom-Jacobi identity of $\mathcal{G}$, the bracket $[.,.]_\theta$ satisfies the Hom-Jacobi identity if and only if

$$\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}\theta(\alpha(x),[y,z]) = 0.$$

That means that $\delta^2\theta = 0$.

$\square$

Finally, remember that i (resp. π) is an even morphism of Hom-Lie superalgebra injective (resp. surjective).

4.2 Cohomology space $H^2(\mathcal{G},V)$ and Central extensions

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra and V be a $\mathcal{G}$-Hom-module. The second cohomology space $H^2(\mathcal{G},V) = Z^2(\mathcal{G},V)/B^2(\mathcal{G},V)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of the equivalence classes of central extensions of $(\mathcal{G},\alpha)$ by (V,β).*

Proof. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow (V,\beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}},\widetilde{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathcal{G},\alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be a central extension of Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G},\alpha)$ by (V,α_V), so there is a space H such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = H \oplus i(V)$.

The map $\pi_{/H} : H \to \mathcal{G}$ (resp $k : V \to i(V)$) defined by $\pi_{/H}(x) = \pi(x)$ (resp. $k(v) = i(v)$)is bijective, its inverse s (resp. l) note. Considering the map $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \times V \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ defined by $\varphi(x,v) = s(x) + i(v)$, it is easy to verify that φ is a bijective.
Since π is homomorphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras then $\pi\Big([s(x),s(y)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} - s([x,y])\Big) = 0$
so $[s(x),s(y)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} - s([x,y] \in i(V)$.
We set $[s(x),s(y)] - s([x,y]) = G(x,y) \in i(V)$ then $F(x,y) = l \circ G(x,y) \in V$, it easy to see that $F(x,x) = 0$ then $F \in C^2(\mathcal{G},V)$ is a 2-cochain that defines a bracket on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. In fact, we can identify as a superspace $L \times V$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ by $\varphi : (x,v) \to s(x) + i(v)$ where the bracket is

$$[s(x)+i(v),s(y)+i(w)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} = [s(x),s(y)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} = s([x,y]) + F(x,y).$$

Viewed as elements of $\mathcal{G}\times V$ we have $\Big[(x,v),(y,w)\Big] = \Big([x,y],F(x,y)\Big)$ and the homogeneous elements (x,v) of $\mathcal{G}\times V$ are such that $|x|=|v|$ and we have in this case $|(x,v)|=|x|$.
We deduce that for every central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow (V,\beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}},\widetilde{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathcal{G},\alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

One may associate a two cocycle $F\in Z^2(\mathcal{G},V)$. Indeed, for $x,y\in\mathcal{G}$, if we set

$$F(x,y) = l\Big([s(x),s(y)] - s([x,y]\Big) \in V,$$

then, we have $F(x,y)\in V$ and F satisfies the 2-cocycle conditions.

Conversely, for each $f\in Z^2(\mathcal{G},V)$, one can define a central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow (V,\beta) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}_f,\alpha_f) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G},\alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

by

$$[(x,v),(y,w)]_f = ([x,y],f(x,y)),$$

where $x,\ y\in\mathcal{G}$ and $v,\ w\in V$.
Let f and g be two elements of $Z^2(\mathcal{G},V)$ such that $f-g\in B^2(\mathcal{G},V)$ i.e. $(f-g)(x,y)=h([x,y])$, where $h:\mathcal{G}\to V$ is a linear map satisfying $h\circ\alpha=\beta\circ h$. Now we prove that the extensions defined by f and g are equivalent. Let us define $\Phi:\mathcal{G}_f\to\mathcal{G}_g$ by

$$\Phi(x,y) = (x, v-h(x)).$$

It is clear that Φ is bijective. Let us check that Φ is a homomorphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Phi((x,v)),\Phi(((y,w))]_g &= [(x,v-h(x)),(y,w-h(y))]_g\\
&= ([x,y],g(x,y))\\
&= ([x,y],f(x,y)-h([x,y]))\\
&= \Phi(([x,y],f(x,y)))\\
&= \Phi([(x,v),(y,w)]_f)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi\circ\widetilde{\alpha}((x,v)) &= \Phi(\alpha(x),\beta(v))\\
&= (\alpha(x),\beta(v)-h(\alpha(x)))\\
&= (\alpha(x),\beta(v)-\beta\circ h(x))\\
&= (\alpha(x),\beta(v-h(x)))\\
&= \widetilde{\alpha}\circ\Phi(x,v).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that for $f,g\in Z^2(\mathcal{G},V)$ such that the central extensions
$0\to(V,\beta)\to(\mathcal{G}_f,\widetilde{\alpha})\to(\mathcal{G},\alpha)\to 0$, and $0\to(V,\beta)\to(\mathcal{G}_g,\widetilde{\alpha})\to(\mathcal{G},\alpha)\to 0$ are equivalent, we

have $f-g\in B^2(\mathcal{G},V)$. Let Φ be a homomorphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & (V,\beta) \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d, "id_V"'] & (\mathcal{G}_f,\widetilde{\alpha}) \arrow[r, "\pi_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & (\mathcal{G},\alpha) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "id_{\mathcal{G}}"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (V,\beta) \arrow[r, "i_2"] & (\mathcal{G}_g,\widetilde{\alpha}) \arrow[r, "\pi_2"] & (\mathcal{G},\alpha) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. We can express $\Phi(x,v)=(x,v-h(x))$ for some linear map $h:\mathcal{G}\to V$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi([(x,v),(y,w)]_f) &= \Phi(([x,y],f(x,y))) \\
&= ([x,y],f(x,y)-h([x,y])),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Phi((x,v)),\Phi((y,w))]_g &= [(x,v-h(x)),(y,w-h(y))]_g \\
&= ([x,y],g(x,y)),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $(f-g)(x,y)=h([x,y])$ (i.e. $f-g\in B^2(\mathcal{G},V)$), so we have completed the proof. □

4.3 The adjoint representation of Hom-Lie superalgebras

In this section we generalize some results of [18].
Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra. We consider $\mathcal{G}$ as a representation on itself via the bracket and with respect to the morphism α .

Definition 4.3. The α^s-adjoint representation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$, which we denote by ad_s, is defined by

$$ad_s(a)(x)=[\alpha^s(a),x],\ \forall\ a,\ x\in\mathcal{G}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *With the above notations, we have a $(\mathcal{G},ad_s(.)(.),\alpha)$ is a representation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. The result follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
ad_s(\alpha(a))(\alpha(x)) &= [\alpha^{s+1}(a),\alpha(x)] \\
&= \alpha([\alpha^s(a),x]) \\
&= \alpha\circ ad_s(a)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
ad_s([x,y])(\alpha(z)) &= [\alpha^s([x,y]),\alpha(z)] \\
&= [[\alpha^s(x),\alpha^s(y)],\alpha(z)] \\
&= -(-1)^{|z||[x,y]|}[\alpha(z),[\alpha^s(x),\alpha^s(y)]] \\
&= (-1)^{|z||x|}(-1)^{|z||x|}[\alpha^{s+1}(x),[\alpha^s(y),z]]+(-1)^{|z||x|}(-1)^{|y||x|}[\alpha^{s+1}(y),[z,\alpha^s(x)]] \\
&= [\alpha^{s+1}(x),[\alpha^s(y),z]]-(-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha^{s+1}(y),[\alpha^s(x),z]].
\end{aligned}$$

□

The set of k-hom-cochains on $\mathcal{G}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{G}$, which we denote by $C^k_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G})$, is given by

$$C^k_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = \{f \in C^k(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) :\ f \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ f\}.$$

In particular, the set of 0-Hom-cochains is given by:

$$C^0_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = \{x \in \mathcal{G} :\ \alpha(x) = x\}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *With respect to the α^s-adjoint representation ad_s, of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$, $D \in C^1_{\alpha,ad_s}$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if D is an α^{s+1}-derivation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$, i.e. $D \in Der_{\alpha^{s+1}}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from the definition of the coboundary operator δ. D is closed if and only if

$$\delta(D)(x,y) = -D([x,y]) + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^{s+1}(x), D(y)] + (-1)^{1+|y|(|D|+|x|)}[\alpha^{s+1}(y), D(x)] = 0,$$

so

$$D([x,y]) = [D(x), \alpha^{s+1}(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^{s+1}(x), D(y)],$$

wich implies that D is an α^{s+1}-derivation. □

4.3.1 The α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}

Proposition 4.6. *With respect to the α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) &= C^0_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = \{x \in \mathcal{G} :\ \alpha(x) = x\}; \\ H^1(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) &= Der_{\alpha^0}(\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any 0-hom-cochain $x \in C^0_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G})$, we have $\delta(x)(y) = (-1)^{|y||x|}[\alpha^{-1}(y), x] = 0,\ \forall y \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, any 0-hom-cochain is closed. Thus, we have $H^0(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) = C^0_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = \{x \in \mathcal{G} :\ \alpha(x) = x\}$. Since there is no exact 1-hom-cochain, by Proposition 4.5, we have $H^1(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) = Der_{\alpha^0}(\mathcal{G})$. □

Let $\omega \in C^2_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G})$ be an even super-skew-symmetric bilinear operator commuting with α. Considering a t-parametrized family of bilinear operations

$$[x,y]_t = [x,y] + t\omega(x,y).$$

Since ω commutes with α, α is a morphism with respect to the brackets $[.,.]_t$ for every t. If $(\mathcal{G}[[t]], [.,.]_t, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra, we say that ω generates a deformation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$. The super Hom-Jacobi identity of $[.,.]_t$,, is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}\Big(\omega\Big(\alpha(x),[y,z]\Big) + \Big[\alpha(x),[y,z]\Big]\Big) &= 0, \quad (4.1) \\ \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}\omega\Big(\alpha(x),\omega(y,z)\Big) &= 0. \quad (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, (4.1) means that ω is even 2-cycle with respect to the α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}. Furthermore, (4.2) means that ω must itself defines a Hom-Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathcal{G}$.

4.3.2 The α^0-adjoint representation ad_0

Proposition 4.7. *With respect to the α^0-adjoint representation ad_0, we have*

$$H^0(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = \{x \in \mathcal{G} :\ \alpha(x) = x, [x,y] = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{G}\},$$

$$H^1(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = Der_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) :\ Inn_\alpha(\mathcal{G}).$$

Proof. For any 0-hom-cochain we have $d_0x(y) = [\alpha^0(y), x] = [x, y]$.
Therefore, the set of 0-cycles $Z^0(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$ is given by $Z^0(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) = \{x \in C^0_\alpha(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) :\ [x,y] = 0,\ \forall y \in \mathcal{G}\}$.
Since $B^0(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) = \{0\}$, we deduce that $H^0(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = \{x \in \mathcal{G} :\ \alpha(x) = x, [x,y] = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{G}\}$.
For any $f \in C^1_\alpha(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$, we have

$$\delta(f)(x,y) = -f([x,y]) + (-1)^{|x||f|}[\alpha(x), f(y)] + (-1)^{1+|y|(|f|+|x|)}[\alpha(y), f(x)]$$

so,

$$\delta(f)(x,y) = -f([x,y]) + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + (-1)^{|x||f|}[\alpha(x), f(y)].$$

Therefore, The set of 1-cocycle $Z^0(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$ is exactly the set of α-derivation Der_α.
Furthermore, it is obvious that any exact 1-coboundary is of the form of $[x, .]$ for some $x \in C^0_\alpha(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G})$.
Therfore, we have $B^1(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) = Inn_\alpha(\mathcal{G})$. Wich implies that $H^1(\mathcal{G};\mathcal{G}) = Der_\alpha(\mathcal{G})/Inn_\alpha(\mathcal{G})$. ☐

4.3.3 The coadjoint representation ad^*

Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.]_V, \beta)$ b a representation of $\mathcal{G}$. Let V^* be the dual vector space of V. We define an even bilinear map $[.,.]_{V^*} : \mathcal{G} \times V^* \to V^*$ by

$$[x, f]_{V^*}(v) = -f([x, v]_V),\ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}\ f \in V^*,\ \text{and } v \in V.$$

Let $f \in V^*,\ x, y\ \in \mathcal{G}$ and $v \in V$. We compute the right hand side of the identity (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}[\alpha(x), [y, f]_{V^*}]_{V^*}(v) - (-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha(y), [x, v]_{V^*}]_{V^*} &= -[y, f]_{V^*}([\alpha(x), v]_*) + (-1)^{|x||y|}[x, f]_{V^*}([\alpha(y), v]_{V^*}) \\ &= f([y, [\alpha(x), v]_V]_V) - (-1)^{|x||y|}f([x, [\alpha(y), v]_V]_V).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we set that the twisted map for $[.,.]_{V^*}$ is $\beta^* =^t \beta$, then the left hand side of the identity (4.2) writes

$$[[x, y], \beta^*(f)]_{V^*}(v) = -\beta^*(f)([[x, y], v]_V) = -^t\beta(f)([[x, y], v]_V) \ = \ -f \circ \beta([[x, y], v]_V).$$

Therefore, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 4.8. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.]_V, \beta)$ be a representation of $\mathcal{G}$. The triple $(V^*, [.,.]_{V^*}, \beta^*)$, where $[x, f]_{V^*}(v) = -f([x, v]_V),\ \forall x \in \mathcal{G},\ f \in V^*,\ v \in V$ defines a representation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha$ if only and only if*

$$[[x, y], \beta(v)]_V = (-1)^{|x||y|}[x, [\alpha(y), v]_V]_V - [y, [\alpha(x), v]_V]_V.$$

We obtain, the following characterization in the case of adjoint representation.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(\mathcal{G}, ad, \alpha)$ be the adjoint representation of $\mathcal{G}$, where $ad : \mathcal{G} \to End(\mathcal{G})$. We set $ad^* : \mathcal{G} \to End(\mathcal{G}^*)$ and $ad^*(x)(f) = -f \circ ad(x)$. Then $(\mathcal{G}^*, ad^*, \alpha^*)$ is a representation of $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if*

$$[[x, y], \alpha(z)] = (-1)^{|x||y|}[x, [\alpha(y), z]] - [y, [\alpha(x), z]], \quad \forall x,\ y,\ z \in \mathcal{G}.$$

5 Cohomology of the q-Witt superalgebra

In the following, we describe q-Witt Hom-Lie superalgebra obtained in [3] and we compute its derivations and second cohomology group.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ be an associative superalgebra. We assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is super-commutative, that is for homogeneous elements a, b the identity $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ holds. For example, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \theta\mathcal{A}_0$ where θ is the Grassman variable ($\theta^2 = 0$). Let $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, 1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\{n\} = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$, a q-number. Let σ be the algebra endomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\sigma(t^n) = q^n t^n \quad and \quad \sigma(\theta) = q\theta.$$

Let ∂_t and ∂_θ be two linear maps on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\partial_t(t^n) = \{n\}t^n, \ \partial_t(\theta t^n) = \{n\}\theta t^n,$$

$$\partial_\theta(t^n) = 0, \quad \partial_\theta(\theta t^n) = q^n t^n.$$

Definition 5.1. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. A σ-derivation D_i on $\mathcal{A}$ is an endomorphism satisfying:

$$D_i(ab) = D_i(a)b + (-1)^{i|a|}\sigma(a)D_i(b)$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ are homogeneous element and $|a|$ is the parity of a.
A σ-derivation D_0 is called even σ-derivation and D_1 is called odd σ-derivation. The set of all σ-derivations is denoted by $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_0 \oplus Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_1$, where $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_0$ (resp $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_1$) is the space of even (resp. odd) σ-derivations.

Lemma 5.2. *The linear map $\Delta = \partial_t + \theta\partial_\theta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is an even σ-derivation.*
Hence,

$$\Delta(t^n) = \{n\}t^n,$$

$$\Delta(\theta t^n) = \{n+1\}\theta t^n.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}^q = \mathcal{A}.\Delta$, be a superspace generated by the elements $L_n = t^n.\Delta$ of parity 0 and the elements $G_n = \theta t^n.\Delta$ of parity 1.
Let $[-,-]_\sigma$ be a bracket on the superspace $\mathcal{W}^q$ defined by

$$[L_n, L_m]_\sigma = (\{m\} - \{n\})L_{n+m}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$[L_n, G_m]_\sigma = (\{m+1\} - \{n\})G_{n+m}. \tag{5.2}$$

The others brackets are obtained by supersymmetry or are 0.
It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}^q$ is a $\mathbb{Z}-$graded algebra:

$$\mathcal{W}^q \quad = \quad \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{W}^q_n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}^q_n = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_n, G_n\}.$$

Let α be an even linear map on $\mathcal{W}^q$ defined on the generators by

$$\alpha(L_n) = (1 + q^n)L_n,$$

$$\alpha(G_n) = (1 + q^{n+1})G_n.$$

Proposition 5.3 ([3])**.** *The triple $(\mathcal{W}^q, [-,-]_\sigma, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra.*

5.1 Derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{W}^q$

A homogeneous α^k-derivation is said of degree s if there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $D(< L_n >) \subset < L_{n+s} >$. The corresponding subspace of homogeneous α^k-derivations of degree s is denoted by $Der^s_{\alpha^k,i}$ $(i \in \mathbb{Z}_2)$.
It easy to check that $Der_{\alpha^k}(\mathcal{W}^q) = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\big(Der^s_{\alpha,0}(\mathcal{W}^q) \oplus Der^s_{\alpha,1}(\mathcal{W}^q)\big)$.
Let D be a homogeneous α^k-derivation

$$D([x,y]) = [D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^k(x), D(y)] \quad for\ all\ homogeneous\ x,\ y \in \mathcal{W}^q.$$

We deduce that

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})D(L_{n+m}) = (1+q^m)^k[D(L_n), L_m] + (1+q^n)^k[L_n, D(L_m)] \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$(\{m+1\} - \{n\})D(G_{n+m}) = (1+q^{m+1})^k[D(L_n), G_m] + (1+q^n)^k[L_n, D(L_m)] \quad \forall n,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.4}$$

5.1.1 The α^0-derivation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{W}^q$

Proposition 5.4. *The set of α^0-derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{W}^q$ is*

$$Der_{\alpha^0}(\mathcal{W}^q) =< D_1 > \oplus < D_2 >$$

where D_1 and D_2 are defined, with respect to the basis as

$$D_1(L_n) = nL_n,\ D_1(G_n) = G_n,$$
$$D_2(L_n) = nG_{n-1},\ D_2(G_n) = L_{n-1}.$$

Proof. We consider The two cases $|D| = 0$ and $|D| = 1$. **Case 1:** $|D| = 0$
Let D ba an even derivation of degree s, $D(L_n) = a_{s,n}L_{s+n}$ and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}G_{s+n}$, by (5.3) we have

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})a_{s,n+m} = (\{m\} - \{n+s\})a_{s,n} + (\{m+s\} - \{n\})a_{s,m}.$$

We deduce that

$$(q^n - q^m)a_{s,n+m} = (q^{n+s} - q^m)a_{s,n} + (q^n - q^{m+s})a_{s,m}.$$

If $m = 0$, we have,

$$q^n(1 - q^s)a_{s,n} = (q^n - q^s)a_{s,0}.$$

If $s \neq 0$ we have

$$a_{s,n} = \frac{1 - q^{s-n}}{1 - q^s}a_{s,0}.$$

We deduce that

$$(q^n - q^m)\frac{1-q^{s-n}}{1-q^s}a_{s,0} = (q^{n+s} - q^m)\frac{1-q^{s-n}}{1-q^s}a_{s,0} + (q^n - q^{m+s})\frac{1-q^{s-m}}{1-q^s}a_{s,0}. \tag{5.5}$$

Taking $n = 2s$, $m = s$, in (5.5) we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$, so $a_{s,n} = 0$.
If $s = 0$ and $n \neq m$ we have $a_{s,n} = na_{s,1}$.
By (5.4) and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}G_{n+s}$ we have

$$(\{m+1\} - \{n\})b_{s,n+m} = (\{m+s+1\} - \{n\})b_{s,m}$$

So

$$(q^n - q^{m+1})b_{s,n+m} = (q^n - q^{m+s+1})b_{s,m}.$$

Taking $n = 0$, we have, $(q^{m+1} - q^{m+s+1})b_{s,m} = 0$ then If $s \neq 0$ we have $b_{s,m} = 0$.
If $s = 0$ and $n \neq m+1$ we have $b_{s,n+m} = b_{s,m}$ so $b_{s,n} = b_{s,0}$.
Finally, it follows that the set of even α^0-derivations is $Der_{\alpha^0,0}(\mathcal{W}^q) = Der^0_{\alpha,0}(\mathcal{W}^q) =< D_1 >$ with $D_1(L_n) = nL_n$ and $D_1(G_n) = G_n$.

Case 2: $|D| = 1$
Let D be an odd derivation of degree s, $D(L_n) = a_{s,n}G_{s+n}$ and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}L_{s+n}$. By(5.3) we have

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})a_{s,n+m} = (\{m\} - \{n+s+1\})a_{s,n} + (\{m+s+1\} - \{n\})a_{s,m}.$$

We deduce that,

$$(q^n - q^m)a_{s,n+m} = (q^{n+s+1} - q^m)a_{s,n} + (q^n - q^{m+s+1})a_{s,m}.$$

If $m = 0$, we have,

$$q^n(1 - q^{s+1})a_{s,n} = (q^n - q^{s+1})a_{s,0}.$$

If $s \neq -1$ we have

$$a_{s,n} = \frac{1-q^{s+1-n}}{1-q^{s+1}}a_{s,0}.$$

Then

$$(q^n - q^m)\frac{1-q^{s+1-n}}{1-q^{s+1}}a_{s,0} = (q^{n+s+1} - q^m)\frac{1-q^{s+1-n}}{1-q^{s+1}}a_{s,0} + (q^n - q^{m+s+1})\frac{1-q^{s+1-m}}{1-q^{s+1}}a_{s,0}. \tag{5.6}$$

Taking $n = 2s+2$, $m = s+1$, in (5.6) we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$, so $a_{s,n} = 0$.
If $s = -1$ and $n \neq m$, then $a_{s,n} = na_{s,1}$.
By (5.4) and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}L_{n+s}$ we have

$$(\{m+1\} - \{n\})b_{s,n+m} \quad = \quad (\{m+s+1\} - \{n\})b_{s,m}.$$

Taking $n = 0$, we have $(q^{m+1} - q^{m+s+1})b_{s,m} = 0$ then if $s \neq -1$, it follows $b_{s,m} = 0$.
If $s = -1$ and $n \neq m+1$, we obtain $b_{s,n+m} = b_{s,m}$ so $b_{s,n} = b_{s,0}$.
Finally, it follows that the set of odd α^0-derivations is $Der_{\alpha^0,1}(\mathcal{W}^q) = Der^{-1}_{\alpha,0}(\mathcal{W}^q) =< D_2 >$ with $D_2(L_n) = nG_{n-1}$ and $D_2(G_n) = L_{n-1}$.

$\square$

5.1.2 The α^1-derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{W}^q$

Proposition 5.5. *If D is an α-derivation then $D = 0$.*

Proof. **Case 1:** $|D| = 0$
Let D be an even derivation of degree s, $D(L_n) = a_{s,n}L_{s+n}$ and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}G_{s+n}$.

By (5.3) we have

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})a_{s,n+m} = (1 + q^m)(\{m\} - \{n + s\})a_{s,n} + (1 + q^n)(\{m + s\} - \{n\})a_{s,m}.$$

We deduce that,

$$a_{s,n+m} = \frac{(1 + q^m)(q^{n+s} - q^m)}{q^n - q^m}a_{s,n} + \frac{(1 + q^n)(q^n - q^{m+s})}{q^n - q^m}a_{s,m}.$$

If $m = 0$, we have,

$$a_{s,n} = \frac{(1 + q^n)(q^n - q^s)}{1 + q^n - 2q^{n+s}}a_{s,0}.$$

So

$$a_{s,n+m} = \frac{(1 + q^{n+m})(q^{n+m} - q^s)}{1 + q^{n+m} - 2q^{n+m+s}}a_{s,0}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1 + q^{n+m})(q^{n+m} - q^s)}{1 + q^{n+m} - 2q^{n+m+s}}a_{s,0} \\ = \;& \frac{(1 + q^n)(q^n - q^{m+s})(1 + q^m)(q^m - q^s)}{(q^n - q^m)(1 + q^m - 2q^{m+s})}a_{s,0} - \frac{(1 + q^m)(q^m - q^{n+s})(1 + q^n)(q^n - q^s)}{(q^n - q^m)(1 + q^n - 2q^{n+s})}a_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

If $q \in [0, 1[$, and letting $n,\ m \to +\infty$ we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$.
If $q > 1$ and for a fixed $m = s$, then when n goes to infinity we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$.
We deduce that $D(L_n) = 0$.

By (5.4) and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}G_{n+s}$ we have

$$(\{m + 1\} - \{n\})b_{s,n+m} \quad = \quad (1 + q^n)(\{m + s + 1\} - \{n\})b_{s,m}.$$

So

$$(q^n - q^{m+1})b_{s,n+m} \quad = \quad (1 + q^n)(q^n - q^{m+s+1})b_{s,m}.$$

Taking $n = 0$, we have, $(1 + q^{m+1} - 2q^{m+s+1})b_{s,m} = 0$.
Then t $b_{s,m} = 0$ so $f(G_n) = 0$. Hence $D \equiv 0$.
Case 2: $|D| = 1$
Let D be an odd derivation of degree s, $D(L_n) = a_{s,n}G_{s+n}$ and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n}L_{s+n}$. By (5.3) we have

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})a_{s,n+m} = (1 + q^m)(\{m\} - \{n + s + 1\})a_{s,n} + (1 + q^n)(\{m + s + 1\} - \{n\})a_{s,m}.$$

Then

$$a_{s,n+m} = \frac{(1+q^n)(q^n - q^{m+s+1})}{(q^n - q^m)} a_{s,m} - \frac{(1+q^m)(q^m - q^{n+s+1})}{(q^n - q^m)} a_{s,n}.$$

If $m = 0$, we have,

$$a_{s,n} = \frac{(1+q^n)(q^n - q^{s+1})}{1 + q^n - 2q^{n+s+1}} a_{s,0}.$$

So

$$a_{s,n+m} = \frac{(1+q^{n+m})(q^{n+m} - q^{s+1})}{1 + q^{n+m} - 2q^{n+m+s+1}} a_{s,0}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+q^{n+m})(q^{n+m} - q^{s+1})}{1 + q^{n+m} - 2q^{n+m+s+1}} a_{s,0} \\ = \quad & \frac{(1+q^n)(q^n - q^{m+s+1})(1+q^m)(q^m - q^{s+1})}{(q^n - q^m)(1 + q^m - 2q^{m+s+1})} a_{s,0} - \frac{(1+q^m)(q^m - q^{n+s+1})(1+q^n)(q^n - q^{s+1})}{(q^n - q^m)(1 + q^n - 2q^{n+s+1})} a_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

If $q \in [0, 1[$, and making $n,\ m \to +\infty$, we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$.
If $q > 1$ and setting $m = s$, then if n goes to infinity we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$.
We deduce that $D(L_n) = 0$.
By (5.4) and $D(G_n) = b_{s,n} L_{n+s}$, we obtain

$$(\{m+1\} - \{n\}) b_{s,n+m} L_{m+n+s} \quad = \quad (1+q^n)(\{m+s\} - \{n\}) b_{s,m} L_{m+s+n}.$$

So

$$(q^n - q^{m+1}) b_{s,n+m} \quad = \quad (1+q^n)(q^n - q^{m+s}) b_{s,m}.$$

Taking $n = 0$ leads to $(1 + q^{m+1} - 2q^{m+s}) b_{s,m} = 0$.
It turns out that $b_{s,m} = 0$ so $D(G_n) = 0$. Hence $D \equiv 0$. $\square$

5.1.3 The q-derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{W}^q$

In this section, we study the q-derivations of $\mathcal{W}^q$. The derivation algebra of $\mathcal{W}^q$ is denoted by $Der\mathcal{W}^q$. Since $\mathcal{W}^q$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hom-Lie superalgebra, we have

$$Der\mathcal{W}^q = (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_0 \oplus (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_1,$$

where $(Der\mathcal{W}^q)_0 = \{D \in Der\mathcal{W}^q :\ D((\mathcal{W}^q)_i) \subset (\mathcal{W}^q)_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$, denote the set of even derivations of $\mathcal{W}^q$, and $(Der\mathcal{W}^q)_1 = \{D \in Der\mathcal{W}^q :\ D((\mathcal{W}^q)_i) \subset (\mathcal{W}^q)_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$, denote the set of odd derivations of $\mathcal{W}^q$.
The space $\mathcal{W}^q$ maybe viewed also as a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded space. Define

$$(Der\mathcal{W}^q)_s = \{D \in Der\mathcal{W}^q :\ D(\mathcal{W}^q_n) \subset \mathcal{W}^q_{n+s}\}.$$

Then we have $Der\mathcal{W}^q = \oplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_s$. Obviously, the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded structures are compatible.
Moreover let $Der_q\mathcal{W}^q_0 = \oplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} (Der\mathcal{W}^q)'_s$, $Der_q\mathcal{W}^q_1 = \oplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} (Der\mathcal{W}^q)''_s$, where $(Der\mathcal{W}^q)'_s \oplus (Der\mathcal{W}^q)''_s = (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_s$.

Definition 5.6. An element $\varphi \in (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_0 \cap (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_s$ (resp. $\varphi \in (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_1 \cap (Der\mathcal{W}^q)_s$) is a q-derivation if

$$\varphi([x,y]) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{1+q^s}\big([\varphi(x),\alpha(y)] + [\alpha(x),\varphi(y)]\big) \tag{5.7}$$

$$(\text{resp. } \varphi([x,y]) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\big([\varphi(x),\alpha(y)] + (-1)^{|x|}[\alpha(x),\varphi(y)]\big) \quad). \tag{5.8}$$

where $x, y \in \mathcal{W}^q$ are homogeneous elements.

For a fixed $a \in (\mathcal{W}^q)_i$, we obtain the following q-derivation

$$\begin{array}{rll} \varphi_a: & \mathcal{W}^q & \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^q \\ & x & \longmapsto [a,x]. \end{array}$$

The map is denoted by ad_a and is called the inner q-derivation.

Proposition 5.7. *If φ is an odd q-derivation of degree s then it is an inner q-derivation, more precisely:*

$$(Der\mathcal{W}^q)_1 = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle ad_{G_s}\rangle.$$

Proof.

Let φ be an odd q-derivation of degree $s, \varphi(L_n) = a_{s,n}G_{n+s}$ and $\varphi(G_n) = b_{s,n}L_{n+s}$. (5.9)

Case 1: $s \neq -1$
By (5.1) and (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{n\}\varphi(L_n) &= \varphi([L_0,L_n]) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([\varphi(L_0),\alpha(L_n)] + [\alpha(L_0),\varphi(L_n)]\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([a_{s,0}G_s,(1+q^n)L_n] + [2L_0,a_{s,n}G_{s+n})]\Big) \\ &= \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{s+1}}(\{n\}-\{s+1\})a_{s,0}G_{n+s} + 2a_{s,n}\frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\{n+s+1\}G_{n+s}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, when $s \neq -1,\ a_{s,n} = \frac{q^{s+1}-q^n}{q^{s+1}-1}a_{s,0}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(L_n) &= -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}[G_s,L_n] \\ &= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}(\{s+1\}-\{n\})G_{n+s} \\ &= \frac{q^n-q^{s+1}}{1-q^{s+1}}a_{s,0}G_{n+s} \\ &= a_{s,n}G_{n+s}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\varphi(L_n) = -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(L_n)$.

By (5.2) and (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{n+1\}\varphi(G_n) &= \varphi([L_0,G_n])\\ &= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([\varphi(L_0),\alpha(G_n)]+[\alpha(L_0),\varphi(G_n)]\Big)\\ &= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([a_{s,0}G_s,(1+q^{n+1})G_n]+[2L_0,b_{s,n}L_{s+n})]\Big)\\ &= 2b_{s,n}\frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\{n+s\}L_{n+s}.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $\{n+1\}b_{s,n}=2b_{s,n}\frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\{n+s\}$ so $b_{s,n}=0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(G_n) &= -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}[G_s,G_n]\\ &= 0\\ &= b_{s,n}G_{n+s}\\ &= \varphi(G_n)\end{aligned}$$

which implies in this case $\varphi=-\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}$.

Case 2 $s=-1$

By (5.1) and (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\{m\}-\{n\})\varphi(L_{m+n}) &= \varphi([L_n,L_m])\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big([\varphi(L_n),\alpha(L_m)]+[\alpha(L_n),\varphi(L_m)]\Big)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big([a_{-1,n}G_{n-1},(1+q^m)L_m]+[(1+q^n)L_n,a_{-1,m}G_{m-1}]\Big)\\ &= -\frac{1+q^m}{2}a_{-1,n}(\{n\}-\{m\})G_{m+n-1}+\frac{1+q^n}{2}a_{-1,m}(\{m\}-\{n\})G_{m+n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\{m\}-\{n\})a_{-1,n+m} = -\frac{1+q^m}{2}a_{-1,n}(\{n\}-\{m\})+\frac{1+q^n}{2}a_{-1,m}(\{m\}-\{n\}).$$

So for $m\neq n$ we have

$$a_{-1,n+m} = \frac{1+q^m}{2}a_{-1,n}+\frac{1+q^n}{2}a_{-1,m}. \tag{5.10}$$

Letting $m=0$ in (5.10), we obtain $a_{-1,0}=0$.

Letting $m=1$, $n=4$ in (5.10), then

$$a_{-1,5} = \frac{1+q}{2}a_{-1,4}+\frac{1+q^4}{2}a_{-1,1}. \tag{5.11}$$

Letting $m=1$, $n=3$ in (5.10), we obtain

$$a_{-1,4} = \frac{1+q}{2}a_{-1,3}+\frac{1+q^3}{2}a_{-1,1}. \tag{5.12}$$

Letting $m=1$, $n=2$ in (5.10), we obtain

$$a_{-1,3} \quad = \quad \frac{1+q}{2}a_{-1,2}+\frac{1+q^2}{2}a_{-1,1}. \tag{5.13}$$

We deduce that

$$a_{-1,5} \quad = \quad \Big(\frac{1+q^4}{2}+\frac{1+q}{2}\frac{1+q^3}{2}+(\frac{1+q}{2})^2\frac{1+q^2}{2}\Big)a_{-1,1}+(\frac{1+q}{2})^3a_{-1,2}. \tag{5.14}$$

Now, Letting $m=2$, $n=3$ in (5.10), we obtain

$$a_{-1,5} \quad = \quad \frac{1+q^2}{2}a_{-1,3}+\frac{1+q^3}{2}a_{-1,2}. \tag{5.15}$$

By (5.13) and (5.15), we deduce that

$$a_{-1,5} \quad = \quad (\frac{1+q^2}{2})^2a_{-1,1}+\Big(\frac{1+q}{2}\frac{1+q^2}{2}+\frac{1+q^3}{2}\Big)a_{-1,2}. \tag{5.16}$$

Then, we deduce (by (5.14) and (5.16)) that $a_{-1,2}=(1+q)a_{-1,1}=\{2\}a_{-1,1}$. Letting $m=1$ in (5.10), we obtain $a_{-1,n+1}=\frac{1+q^1}{2}a_{-1,n}+\frac{1+q^n}{2}a_{-1,1}$, and by induction, we can show that $a_{-1,n}=\{n\}a_{-1,1}$.

So, $\varphi(L_n)=\{n\}a_{-1,1}G_{n-1}=a_{-1,1}[G_{-1},L_n]$, therefore

$$\varphi(L_n) \quad = a_{-1,1}ad_{G_{-1}}(L_n). \tag{5.17}$$

Now, we calculate $\varphi(G_n)$: by (5.2) and (5.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\{m+1\}-\{n\})\varphi(G_{n+m}) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2}\Big([\varphi(L_n),\alpha(G_m)]+[\alpha(L_n),\varphi(G_m)]\Big)\\
&= \quad \frac{1}{2}\Big([a_{-1,n}G_{n-1},(1+q^{m+1})G_m]+[(1+q^n)L_n,b_{-1,m}L_{m-1})]\Big)\\
&= \quad b_{-1,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}(\{m-1\}-\{n\})L_{m+n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$(\{m+1\}-\{n\})b_{-1,m+n} \quad = \quad b_{-1,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}(\{m-1\}-\{n\}).$$

So for $m+1\neq n$ we have

$$b_{-1,n+m} \quad = \quad \frac{1+q^n}{2}\frac{q^n-q^{m-1}}{q^n-q^{m+1}}b_{-1,m}. \tag{5.18}$$

Letting $m=0$ in (5.18) (so $n\neq 1$), we obtain

$$b_{-1,n} \quad = \quad \frac{1+q^n}{2}\frac{q^n-q^{-1}}{q^n-q}b_{-1,0}. \tag{5.19}$$

So

$$b_{-1,n+m} = \frac{1+q^{n+m}}{2}\frac{q^{n+m}-q^{-1}}{q^{n+m}-q}b_{-1,0} \tag{5.20}$$

and

$$b_{-1,m} = \frac{1+q^{m}}{2}\frac{q^{m}-q^{-1}}{q^{m}-q}b_{-1,0}. \tag{5.21}$$

By (5.18) and (5.20), we have

$$\frac{1+q^{n+m}}{2}\frac{q^{n+m}-q^{-1}}{q^{n+m}-q}b_{-1,0} = \frac{1+q^{n}}{2}\frac{q^{n}-q^{m-1}}{q^{n}-q^{m+1}}b_{-1,m}.$$

If we replace $b_{-1,m}$ with its value given in (5.21), we obtain

$$\frac{1+q^{n+m}}{2}\frac{q^{n+m}-q^{-1}}{q^{n+m}-q}b_{-1,0} = \frac{1+q^{n}}{2}\frac{q^{n}-q^{m-1}}{q^{n}-q^{m+1}}\frac{1+q^{m}}{2}\frac{q^{m}-q^{-1}}{q^{m}-q}b_{-1,0}. \tag{5.22}$$

Letting $n = 2,\ m = -3$ in (5.22), we obtain $b_{-1,0} = 0$. By (5.19) we deduce that $b_{-1,n} = 0,\ forall\ n \neq 1$.
Letting $m = 1$ in (5.18), we obtain

$$b_{-1,n+1} = \frac{1+q^{n}}{2}\frac{q^{n}-1}{q^{n}-q^{2}}b_{-1,1}.$$

We deduce that

$$b_{-1,4} = \frac{1+q^{3}}{2}\frac{q^{3}-1}{q^{3}-q^{2}}b_{-1,1}. \tag{5.23}$$

So $b_{-1,1} = 0$.

Since $b_{-1,n} = 0\ forall\ n \neq 1$ and $b_{-1,1} = 0$, then $\varphi(G_n) = 0,\ forall\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since

$$\varphi(G_n) = 0 = a_{-1,1}[G_{-1}, G_n],$$

then

$$\varphi(G_n) = a_{-1,1} ad_{G_{-1}}(G_n). \tag{5.24}$$

By (5.24) and (5.17), we deduce that

$$\varphi = a_{-1,1}\ ad_{G_{-1}}.$$

□

Proposition 5.8. *If φ is an even q-derivation of degree s then it is an inner derivation, more precisely:*

$$(Der\mathcal{W}^q)_0 = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle ad_{L_s}\rangle.$$

Proof.

Let φ be an even q-derivation of degree s, $\varphi(L_n) = a_{s,n}L_{n+s}$, and $\varphi(G_n) = b_{s,n}G_{n+s}$. (5.25)

Case 1: $s \neq 0$

By (5.1) and (5.25), we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{n\}\varphi(L_n) &=& \varphi([L_0, L_n]) \\ &=& \dfrac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([\varphi(L_0), \alpha(L_n)] + [\alpha(L_0), \varphi(L_n)]\Big) \\ &=& \dfrac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([a_{s,0}L_s, (1+q^n)L_n] + [2L_0, a_{s,n}L_{s+n})]\Big) \\ &=& \dfrac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}(\{n\} - \{s\})a_{s,0}L_{n+s} + 2a_{s,n}\dfrac{1}{1+q^s}\{n+s\}L_{n+s}. \end{array}$$

We deduce that, when $s \neq 0$, $a_{s,n} = \frac{q^s - q^n}{q^s - 1}a_{s,0}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\dfrac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(L_n) &=& -\dfrac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}[L_s, L_n] \\ &=& -\dfrac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}(\{n\} - \{s\})L_{n+s} \\ &=& -\dfrac{q^s - q^n}{1 - q^s}a_{s,0}L_{n+s} \\ &=& a_{s,n}L_{n+s}. \end{array}$$

So

$$\varphi(L_n) = -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(L_n). \tag{5.26}$$

Applying the same relations (5.1) and (5.25), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{n+1\}\varphi(G_n) &=& \varphi([L_0, G_n]) \\ &=& \dfrac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([\varphi(L_0), \alpha(G_n)] + [\alpha(L_0), \varphi(G_n)]\Big) \\ &=& \dfrac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([a_{s,0}L_s, (1+q^{n+1})G_n] + [2L_0, b_{s,n}G_{s+n})]\Big) \\ &=& \dfrac{1+q^{n+1}}{1+q^s}a_{s,0}(\{n+1\} - \{s\})L_{n+s} + 2b_{s,n}\dfrac{1}{1+q^s}\{n+s+1\}L_{n+s}. \end{array}$$

We deduce that $b_{s,n} = a_{s,0}\frac{q^s - q^{n+1}}{q^s - 1}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\dfrac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(G_n) &=& -\dfrac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}[L_s, G_n] \\ &=& -\dfrac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}(\{n+1\} - \{s\})G_{n+s} \\ &=& a_{s,0}\dfrac{q^s - q^{n+1}}{q^s - 1}G_{n+s} \\ &=& b_{s,n}G_{n+s}. \end{array}$$

So

$$\varphi(G_n) \quad = \quad -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}} ad_{L_s}(G_n). \tag{5.27}$$

Using (5.26) and (5.27), we deduce that $\varphi = -\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}} ad_{L_s}$.

Case 2 $s = 0$
By (5.1) and (5.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\{m\} - \{n\})\varphi(L_{m+n}) &= \varphi([L_n, L_m]) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big([\varphi(L_n), \alpha(L_m)] + [\alpha(L_n), \varphi(L_m)]\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big([a_{0,n}L_n, (1+q^m)L_m] + [(1+q^n)L_n, a_{0,m}L_m)]\Big) \\
&= a_{0,n}\frac{1+q^m}{2}(\{m\} - \{n\})L_{m+n} + a_{0,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}(\{m\} - \{n\})L_{m+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $a_{0,m+n}(\{m\} - \{n\}) = a_{0,n}\frac{1+q^m}{2}(\{m\} - \{n\}) + a_{0,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}(\{m\} - \{n\})$.
So for $m \neq n$, we have

$$a_{0,n+m} \quad = \quad \frac{1+q^m}{2}a_{0,n} + \frac{1+q^n}{2}a_{0,m}. \tag{5.28}$$

Letting $m = 0$ in (5.28), we obtain $a_{0,0} = 0$.
Letting $m = 1$ in (5.28), we obtain $a_{0,n+1} = \frac{1+q}{2}a_{0,n} + \frac{1+q^n}{2}a_{0,1}$. By induction, we prove that $a_{0,n} = \{n\}a_{0,1}$. So $\varphi(L_n) = \{n\}a_{0,1}L_n$, that is

$$\varphi(L_n) \quad = \quad \{n\}a_{0,1}L_n = a_{0,1}[L_0, L_n].$$

Which leads to

$$\varphi(L_n) \quad = \quad a_{0,1}ad_{L_0}(L_n). \tag{5.29}$$

By (5.2) and (5.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\{m+1\} - \{n\})\varphi(G_{m+n}) &= \varphi([L_n, G_m]) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big([\varphi(L_n), \alpha(G_m)] + [\alpha(L_n), \varphi(G_m)]\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big([a_{0,n}L_n, (1+q^{m+1})G_m] + [(1+q^n)L_n, b_{0,m}G_m)]\Big) \\
&= a_{0,n}(\{m+1\} - \{n\})\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{2}G_{m+n} + b_{0,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}(\{m+1\} - \{n\})G_{m+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $b_{0,m+n} = a_{0,n}(\{m+1\} - \{n\})\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{2} + b_{0,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}(\{m+1\} - \{n\})$.
So, for $m+1 \neq n$, it holds that

$$b_{0,n+m} \quad = \quad a_{0,n}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{2} + b_{0,m}\frac{1+q^n}{2}. \tag{5.30}$$

Taking $m = 0$, in (5.30), we obtain $b_{0,n} = a_{0,n}\frac{1+q}{2} + b_{0,0}\frac{1+q^n}{2}$. Since $a_{0,n} = a_{0,1}\{n\}$, then

$$b_{0,n} \quad = \quad a_{0,1}\{n\}\frac{1+q}{2} + b_{0,0}\frac{1+q^n}{2}. \tag{5.31}$$

Taking $m=1$, $n=-1$ in (5.30) and $n=1$ in (5.31), we obtain $b_{0,0}=a_{0,1}$. So using (5.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,n} &= a_{0,1}\quad \{n\}\frac{1+q}{2}+b_{0,0}\frac{1+q^n}{2} \\ &= \quad a_{0,1}\frac{1-q^n}{1-q}\frac{1+q}{2}+a_{0,1}\frac{1+q^n}{2} \\ &= \quad a_{0,1}\{n+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\varphi(G_n) \quad = \quad b_{0,n}G_n = a_{0,1}\{n+1\}G_n = a_{0,1}[L_0,G_n].$$

Therefore

$$\varphi(G_n) \quad = \quad a_{0,1}\ ad_{L_0}(G_n). \tag{5.32}$$

By (5.29) and (5.32), we deduce that $\varphi = a_{0,1}\ ad_{L_0}$.

□

5.2 Cohomology space $H^2_{r,0}(\mathcal{W}^q)$ of $\mathcal{W}^q$

In the following we describe the cohomology space $H^2_0(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathbb{C})$. We denote by $[f]$ the cohomology class of an element f.

Theorem 5.9.

$$H^2_{r,0}(\mathcal{W}^q) = \mathbb{C}[\varphi_1]\oplus\mathbb{C}[\varphi_2],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(xL_n+yG_m, zL_p+tG_k) &= xzb_n\delta_{n+p,0}, \\ \varphi_2(xL_n+yG_m, zL_p+tG_k) &= xtb_n\delta_{n+k,-1}-yzb_p\delta_{p+m,-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$b_n \quad = \quad \frac{1}{q^{n-2}}\frac{1+q^2}{1+q^n}\frac{(1-q^{n+1})(1-q^n)(1-q^{n-1})}{(1-q^3)(1-q^2)(1-q)}.$$

Proof. For all $f\in C^2_{\alpha,Id_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathbb{C})$, we have (see (3.4))

$$\delta(f)(x_0,x_1,x_2) = -f([x_0,x_1],\alpha(x_2)) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}f([x_0,x_2],\alpha(x_1)) + f(\alpha(x_0),[x_1,x_2]). \tag{5.33}$$

Now, suppose that f is a q-deformed 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{W}^q$. From (5.33), we obtain

$$-f([x_0,x_1],\alpha(x_2)) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}f([x_0,x_2],\alpha(x_1)) + f(\alpha(x_0),[x_1,x_2]) \quad = \quad 0. \tag{5.34}$$

By (5.34) and taking the triple (x,y,z) to be (L_n,L_m,L_p), (L_n,L_m,G_p), *and* (L_n,G_m,G_p), respectively, we obtain $f(L_n,L_p)$, $f(L_n,G_p)$ and $f(G_n,G_p)$ which define f.

Case 1: $X = (L_n, L_m, L_p)$
Using (5.34), we have

$$-f([L_n, L_m], \alpha(L_p)) + f([L_n, L_p], \alpha(L_m)) + f(\alpha(L_n), [L_m, L_p]) \quad = \quad 0.$$

Since $[L_m, L_p] = (\{p\} - \{m\})L_{m+p}$, and $\alpha(L_n) = (1 + q^n)L_n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q^p)(\{m\}-\{n\})f(L_{n+m}, L_p) + (1+q^m)(\{p\}-\{n\})f(L_{n+p}, L_m) \\ &\qquad + (1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{m\})f(L_n, L_{m+p}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

Setting $m = 0$, in (5.35), we obtain $f(L_n, L_p) = \dfrac{q^n - q^p}{1 - q^{n+p}} f(L_0, L_{n+p})$ $(n + p \neq 0)$.
Setting $m = 0,\ n = -p$, in (5.35), then we obtain $f(L_0, L_0) = 0$.
Setting $m = -n - p$ in (5.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q^p)(q^n - q^{-n-p})f(L_{-p}, L_p) + (1+q^{-n-p})(q^n - q^p)f(L_{n+p}, L_{-n-p}) \\ &\qquad + (1+q^n)(q^{-n-p} - q^p)f(L_n, L_{-n}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

Setting $p = 1$ (5.36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q)(q^{2n+1} - 1)f(L_{-1}, L_1) + q(1+q^{n+1})(q^{n-1} - 1)f(L_{n+1}, L_{-n-1}) \\ &\qquad + (1+q^n)(1 - q^{n+2})f(L_n, L_{-n}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

Hence,

$$f(L_{n+1}, L_{-n-1}) = \frac{1}{q}\frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{n+1}}\frac{1-q^{n+2}}{1-q^{n-1}} f(L_n, L_{-n}) - \frac{1}{q}\frac{1+q}{1+q^{n+1}}\frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{n-1}} f(L_1, L_{-1}),\ \ for\ n \neq 1, \tag{5.38}$$

$$f(L_n, L_{-n}) = q\frac{1+q^{n+1}}{1+q^n}\frac{1-q^{n-1}}{1-q^{n+2}} f(L_{n+1}, L_{-n-1}) + \frac{1+q}{1+q^n}\frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{n+2}} f(L_1, L_{-1}),\ \ for\ n \neq -2. \tag{5.39}$$

Letting

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{q}\frac{1+q^{n-1}}{1+q^n}\frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q^{n-2}}\ \ and\ \beta_n = -\frac{1}{q}\frac{1+q}{1+q^n}\frac{1-q^{2n-1}}{1-q^{n-2}}$$

From the formula (5.38) we get
$f(L_n, L_{-n}) = a_n f(L_1, L_{-1}) + b_n f(L_2, L_{-2})$, for $n > 2$, where

$$a_n = \beta_n + \alpha_n\beta_{n-1} + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\ \beta_{n-2} + \cdots + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\cdots\alpha_4\beta_3,$$

and

$$b_n = \frac{1}{q^{n-2}}\frac{1+q^2}{1+q^n}\frac{(1-q^{n+1})(1-q^n)(1-q^{n-1})}{(1-q^3)(1-q^2)(1-q)}.$$

Letting

$$\alpha'_n = q\frac{1+q^{n+1}}{1+q^n}\frac{1-q^{n-1}}{1-q^{n+2}}\ \ and\ \beta'_n = q\frac{1+q}{1+q^n}\frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{n+2}}.$$

From the formula (5.39) we get $f(L_n, L_{-n}) = a'_n f(L_{-1}, L_1) + b'_n f(L_{-2}, L_2)$, for $n < -2$, where

$$a'_n = \beta'_n + \alpha_n\beta'_{n+1} + \alpha'_n\alpha'_{n+1}\ \beta'_{n+2} + \cdots + \alpha'_n\alpha'_{n+1}\cdots\alpha'_{-4}\beta'_{-3},$$

$$b'_n = \frac{1}{q^{n+2}} \frac{1+q^{-2}}{1+q^n} \frac{(1-q^{n-1})(1-q^n)(1-q^{n+1})}{(1-q^{-3})(1-q^{-2})(1-q^{-1})} = -b_{-n}.$$

Case 2: $X = (L_n, L_m, G_p)$.
By (5.34), we have

$$-f([L_n, L_m], \alpha(G_p)) + f([L_n, G_p], \alpha(L_m)) + f(\alpha(L_n), [L_m, G_p]) \quad = \quad 0.$$

Since $[L_n, G_p] = (\{p+1\} - \{n\})G_{n+p}$ and $\alpha(G_n) = (1+q^{n+1})G_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q^{p+1})(\{m\} - \{n\}) f(L_{n+m}, G_p) + (1+q^m)(\{p+1\} - \{n\}) f(G_{n+p}, L_m) + \\ &\qquad (1+q^n)(\{p+1\} - \{m\}) f(L_n, G_{m+p}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Taking $m = 0$ in (5.40), we obtain

$$(1 - q^{n+p+1}) f(L_n, G_p) = (q^n - q^{p+1}) f(L_0, G_{n+p}). \tag{5.41}$$

Then

$$f(L_n, G_p) = \frac{q^n - q^{p+1}}{1 - q^{n+p+1}} f(L_0, G_{n+p}) \text{ for } n+p+1 \neq 0.$$

Taking $n = 1,\ p = -2$ in (5.41), we obtain $f(L_0, G_{-1}) = 0$.
Taking $m = -n,\ p = -1$ in (5.40), we obtain (with $f(L_0, G_{-1}) = 0$)

$$f(L_n, G_{-n-1}) = -f(L_{-n}, G_{n-1}).$$

Then $f(L_1, G_{-2}) = -f(L_{-1}, G_0)$, $f(L_2, G_{-3}) = -f(L_{-2}, G_1)$.
Taking $m = -1,\ p = -n$ in (5.40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q^{n-1})(q^{n+1} - 1) f(L_{n-1}, G_{-n}) + (1+q)(q^{2n-1} - 1) f(G_0, L_{-1}) + \\ &\qquad q(1+q^n)(q^{n-2} - 1) f(L_n, G_{-n-1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(L_n, G_{-n-1}) = \frac{1}{q} \frac{1+q^{n-1}}{1+q^n} \frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q^{n-2}} f(L_{n-1}, G_{-n}) - \frac{1}{q} \frac{1+q}{1+q^n} \frac{1-q^{2n-1}}{1-q^{n-2}} f(L_1, G_{-2}) \quad \text{for } n \neq 2\ , \tag{5.42}$$

$$f(L_{n-1}, G_{-n}) = q \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{n-1}} \frac{1-q^{n-2}}{1-q^{n+1}} f(L_n, G_{-n-1}) + \frac{1+q}{1+q^{n-1}} \frac{1-q^{2n-1}}{1-q^{n+1}} f(L_{-1}, G_0) \quad \text{for } n \neq -1. \tag{5.43}$$

Comparing (5.38) and (5.42), we deduce that

$$f(L_n, G_{-n-1}) = a_n f(L_1, G_{-2}) + b_n f(L_2, G_{-3}) \text{ for } n > 2.$$

Comparing (5.39) and (5.43), we deduce that

$$f(L_n, G_{-n-1}) = a'_n f(L_{-1}, G_0) + b'_n f(L_{-2}, G_1) \text{ for } n < -2,$$

where $a_n,\ b_n,\ a'_n$ and b'_n are defined as in the previous case.

Case 3: $X = (L_n,\ G_m,\ G_p)$.

By (5.34), we have

$$-f([L_n, G_m],\ \alpha(G_p)) - f([L_n, G_p],\ \alpha(G_m)) + f(\alpha(L_n), [G_m, G_p]) = 0.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q^{p+1})(\{m+1\}-\{n\})f(G_{m+n}, G_p) - (1+q^{m+1})(\{p+1\} && (5.44)\\ &\qquad -\{n\})f(G_{p+n}, G_m) = 0. && (5.45)\end{aligned}$$

Taking $m = 0,$ in (5.45), we obtain

$$(1+q^{p+1})(\{1\}-\{n\})f(G_n, G_p) + (1+q)(\{p+1\}-\{n\})f(G_{p+n}, G_0) \ = \ 0. \qquad (5.46)$$

Taking $n = 1$ and replacing $p+1$ by k in (5.46), we obtain

$$f(G_k, G_0) = 0 \text{ for } k \neq 1.$$

Hence,

$$f(G_n, G_p) = 0 \text{ for } n \neq 1,\ p+n \neq 1.$$

Taking $p = 1-n$ in (5.46), we obtain

$$f(G_n, G_{1-n}) = -\frac{1+q}{1+q^{2-n}}(1+q^{1-n})f(G_1, G_0) \ \ (n \neq 1). \qquad (5.47)$$

Replacing n by $1-n$ and p by n in (5.46), one can obtain

$$f(G_{1-n}, G_n) = -\frac{1+q}{1+q^{n+1}}(1+q^n)f(G_1, G_0) \ \ (n \neq 0). \qquad (5.48)$$

Then using super skew-symmetry of f, one can obtain $f(G_1, G_0) = 0.$

We deduce that $f(G_n, G_m) = 0,\ \forall n,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$

We denote by g the linear map defined on $\mathcal{W}^q$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g(L_n) &= -\frac{1}{\{n\}}f(L_0, L_n) \text{ if } n \neq 0,\ g(L_0) = -\frac{q}{q+1}f(L_1, L_{-1}),\\ g(G_n) &= \frac{1}{\{n+1\}}f(L_0, G_n) \text{ if } n \neq -1,\ \ g(G_{-1}) = -\frac{q}{q+1}f(L_1, G_{-2}).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\delta(g)(L_n, L_p) = \dfrac{q^p - q^n}{1-q^{n+p}}f(L_0, L_{n+p})$ $(p \neq -n)$,
$\delta(g)(L_n, L_{-n}) = 0,\ \delta(g)(L_n, G_p) = \dfrac{q^{p+1}-q^n}{1-q^{p+n+1}}f(L_0, G_{n+p})$ $(p+n \neq -1)$
and $\delta(g)(G_n, G_p) = 0.$

Let $h = f - \delta^1 g.$ Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(L_1, L_{-1}) &= h(L_1, G_{-2}) = 0,\\ h(L_n, L_p) &= 0 \text{ for } n+p \neq 0,\\ h(L_n, G_p) &= 0 \text{ for } n+p \neq -1,\\ h(G_n, G_p) &= 0 \text{ for all } n,\ p \in \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

Since h is a 2-cocycle we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} h(L_n, L_{-n}) &= a_n h(L_1, L_{-1}) + b_n h(L_2, L_{-2}) = b_n h(L_2, L_{-2}) \\ h(L_n, G_{-n-1} &= a_n h(L_1, G_{-2}) + b_n f(L_2, G_{-3}) = b_n h(L_2, G_{-3}) \\ h(G_n, G_m) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equalities above, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &h(xL_n + yG_m, zL_p + tG_k) \\ &= xz\delta_{n+p,0} b_n h(L_2, L_{-2}) + xt\delta_{n+k,-1} b_n h(L_2, G_{-3}) - yz\delta_{p+m,-1} b_p h(L_2, G_{-3}) \\ = \;& h(L_2, L_{-2})\varphi_1(xL_n + yG_m, zL_p + tG_k) + h(L_2, G_{-3})\varphi_2(xL_n + yG_m, zL_p + tG_k), \end{aligned}$$

that ends the proof. □

Corollary 5.10. *Let (V, β) be a $\mathcal{W}^q$-module and $f \in C^2(\mathcal{W}^q, V)$. Define a bracket and a morphism on $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^q} = \mathcal{W}^q \oplus V$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} [(x, a), (y, b)]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^q}} &= ([x, y], f(x, y)) \\ \widetilde{\alpha}(x, a) &= (\alpha(x), a) \;\; \forall x, \; y \in \mathcal{W}^q, \; a, \; b \in V. \end{aligned}$$

The triple $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^q}, [.,.]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^q}}, \widetilde{\alpha})$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra if and only if f is in $\mathbb{C}[\varphi_1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\varphi_2]$

References

[1] Aizawa N., Sato H.: *q-Deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension,* Physics Letters B, Phys. Lett. B **256**, no. 1, 185–190 (1991).

[2] Ammar F., Ejbehi Z. and Makhlouf A., *Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras,* Journal of Lie Theory **21** No. 4, (2011) 813–836.

[3] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras*, J. Algebra **324** (7), (2010) 1513–1528.

[4] Benayadi S., Makhlouf A.: Hom-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nondegenerate bilinear forms, e-Print: arXiv:1009.4226 (2010).

[5] Hartwig, J. T., Larsson, D., Silvestrov, S.D., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations,* J. Algebra **295** (2006), 314–361.

[6] Hu N., *q-Witt algebras, q-Lie algebras, q-holomorphe structure and representations,* Algebra Colloq. **6** , no. 1 (1999), 51–70 .

[7] Loday J-L., D., Pirashvilli T., *Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebra. and (co)homology.* Math Ann, 1993, 296: 139–158

[8] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, Central Extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities,* J. Algebra **288** (2005), 321–344.

[9] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-Lie algebras*, in "Noncommutative Geometry and Representation Theory in Mathematical Physics", Contemp. Math., 391, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2005), 241-248.

[10] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-deformations of $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ using twisted derivations*, Communications in Algebra **35**, Issue 12, (2007) 4303–4318

[11] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Graded quasi-Lie algebras*, Czech. J. Phys. **55** (2005), 1473–1478.

[12] Liu K., *Characterizations of quantum Witt algebra*, Lett. Math. Phy. **24** (1992), 257–265.

[13] Makhlouf A., *Paradigm of Nonassociative Hom-algebras and Hom-superalgebras,* Proceedings of Jordan Structures in Algebra and Analysis Meeting, Eds: J. Carmona Tapia, A. Morales Campoy, A. M. Peralta Pereira, M. I. Ramirez Ivarez, Publishing house: Circulo Rojo (2010), 145–177.

[14] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Hom-algebra structures,* J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2) (2008), 51–64 .

[15] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras,* in Gen. Lie theory in Math., Physics and Beyond, Ch. 17, pp. 189-206, S. Silvestrov et. al. eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[16] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras,* Forum Math, vol. **22** (4) (2010) 715–759.

[17] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras,* J. of Algebra and Its Applications Vol. **9** (4) (2010), 553–589.

[18] Sheng Y., *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras,* Algebras and Representation Theory, (2010), 1–18.

[19] Scheunert M., *Generalized Lie algebras.* J. Math. Phys. 20, no. 4 (1979), 712–720.

[20] Scheunert M., *The theory of Lie superalgebras. An introduction.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **716** Springer Verlag Berlin, 1979.

[21] Scheunert M. and Zhang R. B., *Cohomology of Lie superalgebras and their generalizations.* J. Math. Phys. **39**, no. 9, (1998) 5024–5061.

[22] Yau D., *Enveloping algebra of Hom-Lie algebras,* J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2), 95–108 (2008).

[23] Yau D., *Hom-algebras and homology,* J. Lie Theory **19** (2009) 409–421.

[24] Yau D.,ertyr *Hom-bialgebras and comodule algebras,* arXiv:0810.4866.

[25] Yau D.: *Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras,* J. Phys. A **42**,2009 165–202.